

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,482	02/26/2004	Masaaki Kabe	09792909-5798	8773
26263	7590 06/02/2005		EXAMINER	
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP P.O. BOX 061080			DUDEK, JAMES A	
	RIVE STATION, SEAR	S TOWER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	IL 60606-1080		2871	

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$$ $\times$ $\kappa$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/787,482	KABE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James A. Dudek	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	••
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO titute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			•
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	wance except for formal ma	•	ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 11-13 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 5-10 and 14-16 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and complete to the subject to restriction and complete the subject to restrict the subject the subject the su	Irawn from consideration.		
··· _			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		. h., Ab a F., and and	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	***	` '	21(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·	- ' ' '	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a light section.	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	}
Attachment(s)	🗖		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date	1	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2871

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Application 2003/0030767 ("767").

Per claim 1, 3, 767 teaches a liquid crystal display device including a reflection portion [region corresponding to R] and a transmission portion in one pixel [region corresponding to Ra], wherein an orientation mode of the liquid crystal is a twist orientation [50 to 70 degree twist], a polarization plate [P1] and one phase difference plate are provided on a viewing surface side [D1], and a polarization plate is provided on a back surface side [P2]. 767 lacks a normally black mode polarizer configuration. However, it was well known to use normally black polarizer configuration to improve contrast. Accordingly it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of invention to make a normally black cell of 767.

Per claim 2, 4. A liquid crystal display as set forth in claim 1, wherein a ratio dt/dr between a gap dt of said transmission portion and a gap dr of said reflection portion satisfies a relationship of dt/dr from 1.7 to 2.05 [see paragraph 0084].

Application/Control Number: 10/787,482 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 767 as applied to claims 1-4 above, and further in view of US Patent Application 2004/0183969 ("969").

767 lacks at least one side in the shape of the boundary between said transmission portion and reflection portion is a shape other than a straight line. However, 969 teaches both straight and curved lines as a matter of design choice. Accordingly it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of invention to combine the curved lines of 969 with 767.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-10 and 14-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Dudek whose telephone number is 571-272-2290. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-2197 (toll-free).

Farnes A. Dudek
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2871